

Appeal to the Free and Enlightened Citizens of the United States of America.

We greet you in the name of humanity. In a short time many officers of different ranks of the now disbanded Schleswig Holstein army will visit your shores, with the intention of seeking there an asylum, and to render themselves useful to society, according to their abilities.

We need not mention how bravely these men have fought for the cause of freedom—to which alone you owe your glory and power—and with the same resolution as your ancestors did. The world knows it, and history has marked it in her annals. But, alas! treason has wrung victory from them, and the same German princes, who, as their friends and allies, sent their troops to fight the same cause of Schleswig Holstein, as the friends of Germany, have been seen in order to save the monarchial principality from the grasp of the mask, and, as tools of the grand powers, left it to the mercy of the Danes.

What at the beginning of the rise was supported by the princes, and cheered by the whole German nation, now is called a political offence, which, according to the general agreement of the German and non-German governments, is to be punished with imprisonment, degradation, and exemption from any protection, however blanching the character of the man may be.

Nay, they would not blush calling all those rebels and insurgents to arrest and pursue those who, at the first call of the country, cheerfully joined the combat, had not German princes, in 1848 and 1849, fought with them in the same ranks and in the same army.

Those, however, who, from the very beginning to the end, have supported the German cause, are now almost forced by the governments to emigrate, leaving it to the democracy to provide them with the necessary funds.

Whatever could be done for them here, and at other places, has been done. Your liberal and handsome manner of receiving the Hungarians has gained for you our warmest sympathies, and it is particularly, which induces us to address, with a high degree of confidence, these lines to you, because we have in fact placed ourselves under your kind protection, requesting you to receive them friendly on their arrival on the shores of free America, and to advise and assist them in obtaining a suitable position in society. We can give them, conscientiously, the testimony of not being unworthy of your sympathies, and even congratulate you on such an acquisition as German intelligence is nobly represented by them.

They could easily avail themselves of an opportunity here to enter in the Brazilian service, but as they are men whose services cannot be bought, if contrary to their convictions, they have preferred, in spite of their momentary embarrassment, to devote themselves to occupations in a free country. Sorrowsfully, but not despairingly, we shall take leave of them.

May the purpose of these lines, to procure them an encouraging reception on their arrival in your hospitable land, be the best success.

Signed—THE COMMITTEE FOR AIDING THE EX-SERVANTS OF SCHLESWIG-HOLSTEIN OFFICERS.

HAMBURG, May 6, 1851.

New Publications.

RECEIVED AT THE HERALD OFFICE.

A sermon on Withdrawing from the Congregational Ministry—John Motif, New York.

The Christian's Faith and Hope—John S. Taylor, New York.

The American Railway Guide, for April—Curran & Linsmore, New York.

Vestiges of the Natural History of Creation—John Churchill, London.

The Present Age, or Men and Manners—Dewitt & Davenport, New York.

The Life of Christ, Parts 14 and 15—Tallis, Willoughby & Co., New York.

The Complete Works of Shakespeare—Tallis, Willoughby & Co., New York.

Adventures of Don Quixote de La Mancha, Parts 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31—Tallis, Willoughby & Co., New York.

The United States Magazine and Democratic Review—Kettle & Moore, New York.

Scripture History for the Young, Parts 10 and 11—Tallis, Willoughby & Co., New York.

Livingston's Law Register—Published at the office of the Monthly Law Magazine.

The Advocate of Law and the Maiden Aunts—H. Long & Brothers, New York.

Theology of Effect—J. W. Moore, Philadelphia.

The Trader's Guide—H. Long & Brothers, New York.

The Republic—Thomas R. Whitney, New York.

The History of Napoleon, Nos. 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27—Tallis, Willoughby & Co., New York.

Proceedings of a Special Grand Communication of the M. W. Grand Lodge—Bro. Narine, New York.

Flour and Grain Table—E. B. Clayton & Son, New York.

Three Lectures on Hygiene and Hydropathy—Fowler & Wells, New York.

The Banker's Magazine and Statistical Register—J. Smith Homans, Boston.

Practical Remarks on the Present State of Life Insurance in the United States—Harvey G. Tucke, Philadelphia.

The United States Law Magazine and Examiner—Loring, New York.

The American Union and Republican Review—H. Austin Jackson, Miss Anne Gray—Stringer & Townsend, New York.

Report of the Committee of the Senate and House of Representatives of Pennsylvania on the Publication of the Geological Survey.

A Gift, a Poem—Tickner, Reed & Field, New York.

Journal of the Sufferings and Hardships of Capt. Parker H. French's Overland Expedition to California—William Miles, Chambersburg.

Leonard Normandale, or the Three Brothers—H. Long & Brothers, New York.

A Romance of the Sea Serpent—John Bartlett, Cambridge.

The Complete Kitchen and Fruit Gardener—T. Peterson, Philadelphia.

Godey's Lady's Book for May—H. Long & Brothers, New York.

The American Journal of Medical Reform—Adriance, Sherman & Co., New York.

Report of the Committee of the Senate and House of Representatives of Pennsylvania on the Publication of the Geological Survey.

A Gift, a Poem—Tickner, Reed & Field, New York.

The Fireman's Polka, dedicated to Alfred Carson, Esq.—William Hall & Son, New York.

The International Magazine for May—Stringer & Townsend, New York.

The Turner's Companion—Henry Carey Baird, Philadelphia.

The American Miller and Millwright's Assistant—Frederick, F. Smith, Philadelphia.

Flax Movement—John Wiley, New York.

Report of the Committee of the Senate and House of Representatives of Pennsylvania on the Publication of the Geological Survey.

A Gift, a Poem—Tickner, Reed & Field, New York.

The Art Journal, part 27—George Virtue, New York.

Godey's Lady's Book for June—L. A. Godey, Philadelphia.

Pickwick Abroad, or a Tour in France—Akhman & Ormby, New York.

Intermarriage, or Beauty, Health, and Intelligence—Lindley & Blackstone, Philadelphia.

Supreme Court.

GENERAL TERM.

Before Justice Campbell.

May 17.—*Isaac E. Hopper vs. Eugene Keeler.*—Motion denied, with liberty of the parties, to bring the same before the general term, on Saturday, 21st inst.

Temple, Fay, &c. vs. Christian S. Sorenson.—Motion to effect judgment. Motion denied.

Caroline P. Jarvis vs. Frederick D. Robinson.—Ordered that the trial certificate be taken off from files, on filing a new certificate.

George C. Green vs. Thomas Dunn.—Motion denied, without costs.

Before Judge Duer.

M. P. Robbins vs. The Tennessee Insurance Co.—This was an action for recovery of insurance amounting to \$1,000, plus interest, of which the plaintiff had a deposit of \$100. The sum of \$100, less the amount of the deposit, is to be chosen from the west and 6¢ from the east, making a western majority of 14. 2. The Sante shall consist of 50 members, 30 from the west and 20 from the west, making a western majority of 14. 3. In the event of a tie, the eastern shall receive a compensation in both houses; but if it cannot agree upon a compensation, or if any cause fail to make one, the sum of the two houses, or either of them, shall be apportioned among the two houses, or either of them. 4. In every tenth year after 1865, representation in the two houses shall be apportioned upon the basis thus established by the popular vote. This gives the west a majority of four on joint ballot, which renders its defeat certain.

Superior Court.

Before Judge Godey.

May 22.—*Joseph T. Hobson vs. Edward Rose.*—This was an action for recovery of insurance amounting to \$1,000, plus interest, of which the plaintiff had a deposit of \$100. The sum of \$100, less the amount of the deposit, is to be chosen from each other in regard to Texas land, to be paid by plaintiff to defendant and to Gideon Day. The cause was dismissed on account of some informity in the record.

Wm. H. Bell vs. Elijah Stark.—This is an action on a contract for building a house in Houston street, and for laying the foundation of a Methodist church in Eighteenth street. The sum claimed is \$500. The defendant in regard to laying the foundation is, that he was not bound to do so, as he had agreed to do so, but had no right to contract for laying the foundation, as he was only an agent, and not liable. Adjourned.

Thomas Kersey vs. John Wiley.—This was an action to recover damages for the bite of a dog. The defense was, that plaintiff went unnecessarily to where the dog was, and that he was not liable. Adjourned.

W. W. Thompson vs. George W. Nichols.—Motion to adjourn. Motion denied.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.

John D. Williams vs. Daniel P. Campbell.—Ordered that the cause be remitted, with costs.